

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/830,836

Applicant(s)

CAMPBELL ET AL.

Examiner

Binta M. Robinson

Art Unit

1625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Binta M. Robinson.

(3) _____.

(2) Lori Ann Morgan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 February 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-9, 13-15 and 17-35.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and Ms. Morgan agreed that claims 13 and 14 and 26-29 would be examined by the examiner in the next office action. The examiner and Ms. Morgan agreed that all of the method of making and using claims drawn to the claim 1 once found allowable, would be rejoined and examined. Ms. Morgan indicated that she would respond to the outstanding office action with an amendment response, and that she would file copies of the references not received by the examiner in the IDS considered at paper no. 8.